

Please Direct All Correspondence to Customer Number **20995****ISSUE FEE TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

Applicant : Paraschiv, et al.  
App. No : 10/797,888  
Filed : March 9, 2004  
For : METHOD FOR SELECTIVE  
REMOVAL OF HIGH-K MATERIAL  
Art Unit : 1765  
Class/Sub-Class : 438-756000  
Examiner : Vinh, Lan

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

September 28, 2006

Rose M. Thiessen, Reg. No. 40,202

**MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing is the Issue Fee for the above-identified application:

- (X) Form PTOL-85.
- (X) Comment on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in 1 page.
- (X) A check in the amount of \$1730 is enclosed for the following fees:
  - (X) \$1400 Issue Fee
  - (X) \$300 Publication Fee
  - (X) \$30 Advance Order of 10 Copies
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 11-1410.

Rose M. Thiessen  
Registration No. 40,202  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(619) 235-8550



MEC312.001AUS

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Paraschiv, et al.  
Appl. No. : 10/797,888  
Filed : March 9, 2004  
For : METHOD FOR SELECTIVE  
REMOVAL OF HIGH-K  
MATERIAL  
Examiner : Vinh, Lan  
Group Art Unit : 1765

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

September 28, 2006

(Date)

Rose M. Thiessen, Reg. No. 40,202

COMMENT ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

**Mail Stop Issue Fee**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that some claims may not include all of the elements mentioned by the Examiner, and other claims may include elements not mentioned by the Examiner. Also, to the extent that there is any implication that the patentability of the claims rests on the recitation of the particular combination of features as stated by the Examiner, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's Statement because, for each claim, it is the combination of features recited in the claim that makes it patentable. All claims of the present application are allowable because they each recite a combination of features that are not taught or suggested by the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 9/28/06

By: Rose M. Thiessen

Rose M. Thiessen  
Registration No. 40,202  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(619) 235-8550